ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M06847

 Date Received:
 03/10/11

 Date Extracted:
 03/15/11

 Date Analyzed:
 03/15/11

 Matrix:
 Water

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)

Client:
Project:
Lab ID:
Data File:
Instrumen

Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor M06847, F&BI 103135

103135-01 x10 103135-01 x10.036

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery:

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 491
Nickel 418
Copper 267
Zinc 11.9

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:

Date Analyzed:

Method Blank Not Applicable

03/15/11 03/15/11 Water

03/15/11 Water ug/L (ppb) Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Project: Metro Self Monitor M06847, F&BI 103135 Lab ID: I1-172 mb

Data File: I1-172 mb

Data File: I1-172 mb.023

Instrument: ICPMS1

Operator: AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 101 Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Analyte:

Matrix:

Units:

 Chromium
 <1</td>

 Nickel
 <1</td>

 Copper
 <1</td>

 Zinc
 <1</td>

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/16/11 Date Received: 03/10/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor M06847, F&BI 103135

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 103103-03 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)			
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	119	118	67-132	1			
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	113	117	73-119	3			
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.76	121	114	50-144	6			
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	53.1	104 b	106 b	46-148	2 b			

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte Chromium			Percent	
	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Recovery LCS	Acceptance Criteria
	ug/L (ppb)	20	114	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	108	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	108	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	107	57-135

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 -- More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${
 m jl}$ The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

ME 03/10/11

103135		2	SA	MPLE CHA	in of c	ust	OD	7	1	1 <i>E</i>	03	110	0/1	1	A	PY
			.9	SAMPLERS	signature)	300.00		>					Pe	go# JRNAR		of
Send Report To Sexcel Thompson Company ACASKAN Coppen Works Address 628 S. Handard ST			PROJECT NA		6/5/	1310.00	1	1	PO#		0					
Company 10 CASCAS COREN CLOCKS				METRO SELF MOVITUR MC					068	PO# Standard (2 Wooks) KUSH						
Address 668	MANDER	<u> کی کی </u>		REMARKS				Va. 55				-	, \$	AMPLE	· Nasa	1426
City, State, ZIP_SEA	one w	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	REMARKS									Dispo	ose afte	. 30 da	
Phone #206-571-603	3 Fax # 20	6-382-4	309				نبيت						WI	oall with	instr	actions
		T	ter (minister)							Lyses	REQ	UEST	函D	PERONE ENAM	a D. Aven	
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	VOCs by 8289	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	Chech Mach				N	lotes
M06847	01	3/10/11	12:30	Hec	5					X						
					i i					0.5	1				22	
					i i		1		1	+				-		5
	7 7 6 1 1								1							
6 VALV (1978)					F-37		1		1	282 SI					18	0
										7950n 124 T						
	,						T									
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West				PRINT NAME				Ŧ	COMPANY DATE					12	TIME	
Sectile, WA 98119-2029	Becsived by:			- lot of				1	1 / 1 /						1:50pm	
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Retinquished by	71 / Cm	Mhan Phan					+	FeBT 3/10/11/						V	
Fox (206) 283-5044	Received by:								1	^	,			at_	2 1	Ö'

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

March 16, 2011

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 10, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor M06847, F&BI 103135 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0316R.DOC